

Reassessing the Gold Standard: The Role of AI-Powered Urinalysis in Diagnosing Urinary Tract Infections

Mark Justine Bansil

Our Lady of Fatima University, Valenzuela City, Philippines

ABSTRACT

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are among the most common bacterial infections worldwide, requiring timely and accurate diagnosis to guide appropriate therapy and reduce antimicrobial resistance. Although urine culture remains the diagnostic gold standard, its prolonged turnaround time and susceptibility to pre-analytical variability limit its clinical efficiency. Recent advances in artificial intelligence (AI) have positioned urinalysis as a promising alternative diagnostic approach, utilizing machine learning and deep learning algorithms for automated analysis and prediction. This review synthesizes current evidence on AI applications in urinalysis for UTI diagnosis, examining computational techniques, diagnostic performance, clinical integration, limitations, and future directions. The literature demonstrates that AI-powered urinalysis can achieve diagnostic accuracy comparable to urine culture, with high sensitivity and specificity while reducing diagnostic time. Integration of AI into clinical workflows has the potential to enhance decision-making, streamline laboratory processes, and support antimicrobial stewardship. However, challenges related to data heterogeneity, algorithm interpretability, validation, and regulatory requirements remain significant barriers to widespread adoption. Overall, AI-driven urinalysis represents a transformative opportunity to complement the existing diagnostic standard and advance more rapid, efficient, and personalized approaches to UTI management.

Key words: artificial intelligence, urinalysis, urinary tract infection, machine learning, deep learning, diagnostic accuracy

ISSN 2507-8364 (Online)
Printed in the Philippines.
Copyright© 2026 by Baclig.
Received: 8 February 2026.
Accepted: 18 February 2026.
Published online first: 11 June 2026.
<https://doi.org/10.21141/PJP.2026.595>

Corresponding author: Mark Justine Bansil, RMT
E-mail: mdbansil1232val@student.fatima.edu.ph
ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5527-3575>

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) remain one of the most common bacterial infections worldwide, particularly affecting women, older adults, and individuals with underlying health conditions.¹ Accurate and timely diagnosis is essential to reduce complications, guide targeted antimicrobial therapy and mitigate the rise of antimicrobial resistance. At present, urine culture is regarded as the gold standard for UTI diagnosis because it enables pathogen identification and antibiotic susceptibility testing.² However, culture-based techniques are inherently time-consuming—typically requiring 24 to 72 hours to generate results—and are susceptible to pre-analytical variations such as specimen handling, contamination, and prior antibiotic use.³ These limitations often delay clinical decision-making and appropriate therapeutic intervention.

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) has opened new avenues for revolutionizing diagnostic microbiology, including urinalysis. AI-powered systems employ machine learning and image-recognition algorithms to analyze urinalysis data, predict culture outcomes, and even identify causative microorganisms without the inherent delays of conventional methods.^{4,5} Several studies have demonstrated that AI-driven urinalysis can achieve diagnostic performance comparable to traditional culture, with notable improvements in sensitivity, specificity, and turnaround time.^{6,7} Furthermore, systematic reviews have emphasized AI's potential to enhance diagnostic accuracy, streamline laboratory workflows, and support antimicrobial stewardship through early and reliable detection of infections.^{2,8}



Despite this progress, challenges persist in translating AI diagnostics into routine clinical practice. Issues surrounding algorithm validation across diverse populations, data standardization, interpretability, and regulatory compliance continue to hinder widespread adoption.^{1,3} Against this backdrop, this review reexamines the long-standing gold standard of urine culture in the context of emerging AI-powered urinalysis technologies. By consolidating current evidence, this work provides a critical analysis of their diagnostic capabilities, implementation barriers, and clinical implications. The novelty of this review lies in its focused reassessment of urine culture through the lens of AI innovation, highlighting not only how artificial intelligence may complement or replace conventional diagnostics but also how it can redefine the future of UTI detection and management.

METHODOLOGY

This narrative review synthesizes current literature on artificial intelligence (AI) applications in urinalysis for the diagnosis of urinary tract infections (UTIs). A structured search strategy was employed to identify relevant studies assessing AI-driven diagnostic performance, predictive accuracy, and clinical applicability compared to conventional urine culture.

Literature search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted using PubMed, Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and Web of Science. Sources included peer-reviewed journals, clinical reports, and white papers covering AI algorithms, machine learning, predictive modeling, and digital urinalysis technologies. Search terms included “AI in urinalysis,” “machine learning in UTI diagnosis,” “automated urine analysis,” “urine culture prediction,” and “smart diagnostics for UTIs.”

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

- Inclusion: Studies reporting AI applications in urinalysis, diagnostic performance comparisons with urine culture, algorithm development for UTI detection, and workflow improvements in laboratory diagnostics.
- Exclusion: Studies unrelated to urinalysis or UTI diagnosis, general AI applications without clinical relevance, or publications lacking sufficient methodological rigor.

Data extraction and thematic analysis

Key themes extracted and analyzed included:

1. AI algorithms and computational techniques for urinalysis
2. Diagnostic performance metrics (sensitivity, specificity, predictive values)
3. Comparative effectiveness versus urine culture
4. Workflow and clinical integration
5. Challenges, limitations, and validation requirements
6. Future directions in AI-driven UTI diagnostics

A qualitative synthesis was performed to identify recurring patterns, evidence gaps, and insights into the potential for AI-powered urinalysis to complement or replace traditional urine culture.

Critical evaluation and discussion

The review evaluates the impact of AI on diagnostic speed, accuracy, and clinical decision-making. Barriers to implementation, ethical considerations, and strategies for integration into routine clinical practice are also examined, highlighting the evolving role of AI in UTI diagnosis.

RESULTS

The following section is a systematic integrative review, presenting the results, clustered according to discussion.

A structured literature search identified a total of 54 records across the selected databases. After removal of duplicates, 41 articles remained for title and abstract screening. Of these, 22 studies were considered potentially eligible and underwent full-text review. Following the application of inclusion and exclusion criteria, 14 studies were included in the final analysis. The included studies comprised systematic reviews, retrospective analyses, experimental investigations, and preprint studies examining the application of artificial intelligence in urinalysis for the diagnosis and prediction of urinary tract infections.

AI algorithms and computation techniques for urinalysis

Artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed urinalysis, introducing advanced computational techniques that enhance diagnostic accuracy and efficiency. Machine learning algorithms, including logistic regression, random forests, and support vector machines, have been widely employed to predict urinary tract infections (UTIs) based on routine urinalysis parameters. Naik et al., demonstrated that machine learning models could reliably predict urine culture positivity and identify urinary bloodstream infections by integrating automated urinalysis data with clinical information, achieving high sensitivity and specificity. Such predictive models have the potential to support early diagnosis and guide antimicrobial stewardship, reducing unnecessary delays associated with traditional culture-based methods.²

Deep learning approaches, particularly convolutional neural networks (CNNs), have been applied to analyze urine sediment images with remarkable accuracy. Studies have shown that CNN-based models can automatically detect and classify various urine particles, including erythrocytes, leukocytes, and casts, significantly reducing the subjectivity and time demands of manual microscopy.⁴ These models demonstrate robust pattern recognition capabilities, even in complex urine samples, and can be continuously improved with larger datasets, enhancing reliability across different patient populations. AI-driven prediction models have also been developed to forecast urine culture outcomes directly. Dedeene et al., introduced a multilayer perceptron-based tool incorporating parameters such as urine dipstick analysis, urine cytometry, and patient demographic features, achieving an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.88. Such tools allow clinicians to make rapid decisions regarding empiric therapy, particularly in acute care settings.⁷

The integration of AI with mobile and point-of-care (POC) devices represents another promising application in urinalysis. Choi et al., demonstrated that AI algorithms

could accurately interpret urine test strips using smartphone cameras, providing immediate diagnostic insights without the need for conventional laboratory infrastructure.⁵ This approach offers substantial advantages in resource-limited settings and during high-demand periods, enabling rapid triage and monitoring of patients. Despite these advances, validation in real-world clinical settings remains essential. Goździkiewicz et al., and De Bruyne et al., emphasized that large-scale prospective studies are needed to confirm the reliability, generalizability, and clinical utility of AI-powered urinalysis.^{3,4} Additionally, considerations related to data standardization, algorithm transparency, and integration with electronic health records are critical for safe and effective implementation.

Overall, AI algorithms and computational techniques are reshaping the landscape of urinalysis, offering faster, more accurate, and potentially cost-effective alternatives to traditional diagnostic methods. The growing body of evidence suggests that, with rigorous validation and thoughtful integration into clinical workflows, AI-powered urinalysis could significantly enhance the early detection and management of UTIs, complementing or in some cases reducing reliance on conventional urine culture.

Diagnostic performance metrics

The diagnostic performance of AI-powered urinalysis has been a major focus of recent research, emphasizing its potential to match or even surpass conventional urine culture in certain clinical contexts. Studies have consistently reported high sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values for AI algorithms when applied to automated urinalysis data. Choi et al., found that machine learning models could predict urine culture results with sensitivity exceeding 90% for common uropathogens, while maintaining specificity above 85%, demonstrating reliable discrimination between positive and negative cultures. Such performance metrics indicate that AI algorithms can effectively support early diagnosis, allowing clinicians to initiate timely treatment and reduce the unnecessary use of broad-spectrum antibiotics.⁵

Several studies have compared AI-based urinalysis to traditional culture in terms of overall accuracy and predictive performance. Naik et al., reported that AI models integrating urine dipstick results, urine sediment analysis, and patient clinical data achieved an overall accuracy of 87–92% in predicting culture outcomes.² Similarly, Dedeene et al., demonstrated that multilayer perceptron classifiers could generate area under the curve (AUC) values of 0.88–0.91, reflecting strong discriminative power. These findings suggest that AI-driven urinalysis can serve as a reliable triage tool, particularly in settings where immediate culture results are not available and may reduce laboratory workload without compromising diagnostic integrity.⁷

The positive and negative predictive values of AI models also support their clinical utility. Shen et al., highlighted that AI algorithms exhibited high positive predictive values for Enterobacteriaceae and *Escherichia coli* infections, the most common causative organisms in UTIs, while negative predictive values remained consistently high across different patient populations.⁸ This balance of predictive

accuracy allows for confident rule-in and rule-out decisions, potentially reducing unnecessary empirical antibiotic prescriptions. Additionally, De Bruyne et al., observed that performance metrics remained robust even when AI algorithms were applied to large and heterogeneous datasets, indicating potential generalizability across multiple clinical environments.⁴

Despite these promising results, some limitations persist. Variability in dataset quality, differences in laboratory equipment, and incomplete clinical metadata can affect model performance.^{1,3} Prospective studies are needed to validate retrospective findings and evaluate real-world implementation. Nonetheless, the growing body of evidence demonstrates that AI-powered urinalysis consistently achieves diagnostic performance metrics comparable to, and in some cases exceeding, conventional urine culture, offering a rapid, reliable, and potentially transformative approach to UTI diagnosis.

Comparative effectiveness versus urine culture

Recent studies have increasingly focused on comparing the effectiveness of AI-powered urinalysis to the traditional gold standard of urine culture for diagnosing urinary tract infections (UTIs). While urine culture remains the definitive method for confirming infection and identifying causative organisms, it is time-consuming, often requiring 24–48 hours for results, and is susceptible to delays in clinical decision-making. AI-powered urinalysis offers the potential to bridge this gap by providing rapid, reliable predictions of culture outcomes, potentially guiding early intervention. Choi et al., reported that AI-driven models achieved predictive accuracy comparable to urine culture, correctly identifying the presence of common pathogens such as *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococcus* spp. in over 90% of cases, while reducing turnaround time.⁵

Several studies have demonstrated that AI algorithms can complement urine culture by serving as an effective triage tool. Dedeene et al., showed that AI predictions allowed clinicians to prioritize samples with a high probability of positivity, thereby optimizing laboratory workflows and reducing unnecessary cultures. This approach not only improves efficiency but also has significant cost-saving implications, particularly in high-volume clinical settings.⁷ Naik et al., further highlighted that AI-powered urinalysis could identify cases with a high likelihood of negative culture results, allowing clinicians to withhold unnecessary antibiotic therapy and limit antimicrobial resistance. Such stratification demonstrates that AI may function not only as a diagnostic aid but also as a decision-support tool for more targeted patient management.²

Comparative analyses have also examined the concordance between AI predictions and culture-confirmed results. De Bruyne et al., found that while AI models rarely misclassified true positives, minor discrepancies arose in cases involving mixed or atypical infections, underscoring the importance of continued validation and integration with confirmatory culture.⁴ Similarly, Taylor et al., reported that AI algorithms performed exceptionally well for single-pathogen infections but exhibited slightly lower accuracy for polymicrobial samples.⁹ Despite these limitations, the overall concordance with culture results remains high,

suggesting that AI-powered urinalysis can serve as a reliable first-line diagnostic approach, particularly when rapid decision-making is essential.

Beyond diagnostic accuracy, AI-based urinalysis offers significant advantages in speed, scalability, and accessibility. Mobile and point-of-care applications have demonstrated that AI algorithms can deliver near-instant results at the bedside or in outpatient settings, which is particularly valuable in resource-limited environments where culture facilities may be unavailable.¹⁰ Sen et al., also emphasized that combining AI-based urine sediment analysis with automated flow microimaging significantly enhanced pathogen detection while maintaining strong agreement with culture-based methods.¹¹ Collectively, these studies indicate that AI-powered urinalysis is not intended to replace urine culture entirely but can act as a highly effective adjunct, improving diagnostic workflows, patient outcomes, and antimicrobial stewardship efforts.

Workflow and clinical integration

The integration of AI-powered urinalysis into clinical workflows has shown considerable promise in enhancing efficiency, reducing diagnostic delays, and improving patient management. Traditional urine culture workflows often involve multiple steps, including specimen collection, transportation, incubation, and manual interpretation, which can take 24–72 hours and contribute to bottlenecks in patient care. AI algorithms offer an opportunity to streamline these processes by rapidly analyzing urine samples and predicting culture outcomes, thereby enabling more timely clinical decisions. Naik et al., demonstrated that AI-based predictive models could reduce the time to preliminary diagnosis from days to mere hours, allowing clinicians to initiate empiric therapy or prioritize further testing without waiting for full culture results.²

The practical application of AI in clinical settings has been facilitated by automated urine analyzers, smartphone-based urinalysis tools, and integration with electronic health record (EHR) systems. Choi et al., showed that AI-assisted urinalysis, when coupled with automated dipstick readers and sediment analysis, could provide real-time

diagnostic insights while maintaining compatibility with existing laboratory information systems.⁵ This integration allows for seamless incorporation of AI predictions into routine clinical decision-making, enabling clinicians to rapidly identify patients at risk of urinary tract infections and optimize care pathways. Demirci et al., emphasized that AI-driven platforms not only accelerate analysis but also minimize human error associated with manual microscopy, improving consistency and reproducibility of results.¹²

Point-of-care (POC) applications represent another critical aspect of workflow enhancement. Althowaimer et al., highlighted that AI-enabled POC devices could be deployed in outpatient clinics, emergency departments, and resource-limited settings, offering immediate feedback to healthcare providers.¹ This capability reduces reliance on centralized laboratories, shortens patient wait times, and supports timely antimicrobial stewardship decisions. Additionally, Dedeene et al., reported that AI integration could help laboratories triage specimens more effectively, focusing culture resources on samples most likely to yield positive results and thereby optimizing laboratory throughput and resource allocation.⁷

Despite these advantages, successful clinical integration requires careful consideration of validation, standardization, and staff training. Dai et al., noted that variability in sample preparation, laboratory equipment, and data quality could influence AI performance, underscoring the need for protocol harmonization.¹³ Furthermore, clinicians must be trained to interpret AI-generated predictions appropriately, balancing rapid results with confirmatory testing when necessary. Shen et al., emphasized that workflow integration should also address ethical and regulatory concerns, including data privacy, algorithm transparency, and accountability in clinical decision-making.⁸

Overall, the evidence suggests that AI-powered urinalysis can improve workflow efficiency, reduce turnaround times, and enhance patient care when thoughtfully integrated into clinical practice. By complementing existing laboratory processes and supporting rapid decision-making at both central and point-of-care settings, AI has the potential to

Table 1. Comparison of diagnostic characteristics between conventional urine culture and AI-powered urinalysis

Parameter	Conventional urine culture	AI-powered urinalysis	Key insights
Diagnostic turnaround time	24–72 hours to obtain definitive results	Produces preliminary or predictive results within minutes	AI significantly reduces diagnostic delay, enabling earlier treatment initiation.
Pathogen identification	Provides confirmed pathogen identification and antimicrobial susceptibility	Utilizes algorithmic prediction based on image and data analysis	AI models can predict likely pathogens before culture confirmation.
Diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity/specificity)	Considered the reference standard with high accuracy	Comparable accuracy in many studies, improving with larger and diverse datasets	Continued training and validation enhance AI reliability.
Data requirements	Relies on biological culture media and manual processing	Requires large, annotated datasets, digital images, and computational resources	AI depends on data quality and diversity for robust performance.
Interpretability	Results are transparent and easily interpretable by clinicians	May lack interpretability due to algorithmic complexity	Explainable AI is essential to increase clinical trust and adoption.
Cost and Labor	Labor-intensive and resource-demanding with recurring costs	Higher initial setup cost but lower long-term operational costs	AI systems offer long-term efficiency and scalability once implemented.
Clinical Utility	Confirms infection and guides antibiotic therapy	Provides rapid screening and decision support for suspected infections	AI serves as a complementary tool, reducing unnecessary cultures and improving workflow efficiency.

Table 2. Workflow and clinical integration of AI-powered urinalysis compared with conventional diagnostic processes

Workflow component	Conventional urine analysis and culture	AI-powered urinalysis workflow	Impact on clinical integration
Sample Collection	Manual urine collection and labeling; prone to handling errors	Similar process but can be linked to digital sample tracking systems	Enhanced traceability and reduced labeling errors through automation.
Laboratory Processing	Manual microscopy and culture incubation requiring skilled personnel	Automated imaging and data acquisition using AI-enabled analyzers	Reduces manual workload and laboratory turnaround time.
Data Interpretation	Microbiologist interpretation of microscopic findings and culture results	Algorithm-based interpretation and pattern recognition for pathogen prediction	Provides faster, standardized, and reproducible diagnostic insights.
Reporting and Documentation	Manual entry into laboratory information systems	Seamless integration with electronic health records (EHR) and automated report generation	Streamlines reporting, minimizes transcription errors, and supports real-time updates.
Clinical Decision Support	Dependent on culture confirmation and clinician judgment	AI-generated risk scores and predictive outcomes assist early decision-making	Enables early empirical therapy and supports antimicrobial stewardship.
Quality Control	Periodic manual calibration and review of test performance	Continuous algorithmic self-learning and performance monitoring	Ensures consistent diagnostic quality and adaptive system improvement.
Interdisciplinary Communication	Relies on verbal or written communication between lab and clinicians	Integrated dashboards facilitate real-time collaboration	Improves coordination between laboratory staff and clinicians, expediting care delivery.

transform the diagnostic management of urinary tract infections while maintaining high standards of accuracy and reliability.

Challenges, limitations, and validation requirements

Despite the promising advances of AI-powered urinalysis, multiple challenges and limitations must be addressed before widespread clinical adoption can occur. One major concern is the variability in dataset quality, which can significantly impact algorithm performance. Li et al., highlighted that many studies rely on retrospective datasets that may not fully capture the heterogeneity of patient populations, specimen collection methods, and laboratory conditions.¹⁴ Inconsistent pre-analytical procedures, such as variations in urine collection, storage, and handling, can introduce noise into AI models, potentially reducing predictive accuracy. Similarly, differences in laboratory equipment, including automated analyzers and flow imaging systems, may limit generalizability across institutions.⁶

Another significant challenge is the interpretability of AI algorithms. While machine learning and deep learning models offer high predictive performance, their “black-box” nature can limit clinician trust and acceptance. Naik et al., emphasized that transparent, explainable AI systems are critical to ensure that clinicians can understand the rationale behind predictions and integrate them safely into decision-making.² Furthermore, ethical, and regulatory considerations present additional hurdles. Issues related to patient data privacy, algorithm accountability, and adherence to local and international diagnostic standards must be addressed to avoid misuse and ensure patient safety.¹

Validation requirements represent another key area of focus. Many studies report high diagnostic accuracy under controlled research settings, but real-world implementation may reveal performance gaps. De Bruyne et al., and Shen et al., highlighted the need for prospective, multicenter trials to assess AI algorithms under diverse clinical conditions and patient populations.^{4,8} These studies are necessary to evaluate robustness, reproducibility, and reliability before AI can be considered a true adjunct or replacement for urine culture. Additionally, continuous monitoring and periodic recalibration of AI models are essential to maintain

accuracy over time, especially as microbial patterns and resistance profiles evolve.

Limitations also extend to specific infection scenarios. Choi et al. and Dedeene et al., observed that AI algorithms perform slightly less effectively in cases involving polymicrobial infections, rare pathogens, or atypical presentations, underscoring that culture remains necessary in complex or ambiguous cases.^{5,7} Similarly, AI predictions may be influenced by comorbidities or medications that alter urine composition, highlighting the need for clinical context and judgment alongside algorithmic recommendations. Integration challenges, including interoperability with electronic health records and laboratory information systems, further complicate deployment, requiring robust IT infrastructure and staff training.

In summary, while AI-powered urinalysis demonstrates considerable promise, its adoption is contingent upon addressing key limitations and validation requirements. High-quality, diverse datasets, explainable algorithms, rigorous prospective testing, and careful integration into clinical workflows are essential to ensure that AI can safely and effectively complement or enhance traditional urine culture diagnostics. Addressing these challenges will enable AI to achieve its potential as a transformative tool in urinary tract infection management while maintaining patient safety, diagnostic reliability, and clinical trust.

Future directions in AI-driven in UTI diagnostics

The evolving landscape of AI-powered urinalysis presents numerous opportunities for future development and integration into urinary tract infection (UTI) diagnostics. One key direction is the refinement of AI algorithms to enhance diagnostic accuracy, particularly in complex cases involving polymicrobial infections or atypical pathogens. Shen et al., emphasized the potential of hybrid models that combine multiple AI techniques, including deep learning, machine learning, and ensemble approaches, to improve sensitivity and specificity across diverse patient populations.⁸ By leveraging larger, multicenter datasets, AI models can become more robust and generalizable, addressing current limitations in dataset heterogeneity and algorithm performance.³

Table 3. Summary of included studies on AI applications in urinalysis for UTI diagnosis

Author (Year)	Study design	AI Technique/model	Data source	Key findings
<i>Althowaimer et al. (2024)</i>	Review	AI-based diagnostic systems	Laboratory diagnostic data	Highlighted AI's role in improving diagnostic accuracy and workflow efficiency
<i>Naik et al. (2024)</i>	Review	Machine learning models	Multi-source clinical data	Emphasized AI in rapid diagnosis and clinical decision support
<i>Goździkiewicz et al. (2022)</i>	Literature review	Machine learning algorithms	Various datasets	Identified benefits and limitations of AI in UTI diagnosis
<i>De Bruyne et al. (2023)</i>	Review	ML and deep learning	Clinical urinalysis datasets	Demonstrated broad applicability of AI in urinalysis
<i>Choi et al. (2024)</i>	Retrospective analysis	AI-integrated predictive modeling	Urine samples with culture results	High accuracy in predicting urine culture outcomes
<i>Dong et al. (2022)</i>	Experimental study	AI with flow microimaging	Suspected UTI patients	High diagnostic performance in rapid urinalysis
<i>Dedeene et al. (2024)</i>	Retrospective study	Neural networks	Clinical urinalysis + demographics	Effective prediction of urine culture results
<i>Shen et al. (2024)</i>	Systematic review and meta-analysis	ML and DL models	Multicenter datasets	Reported high pooled diagnostic accuracy of AI models
<i>Taylor et al. (2018)</i>	Retrospective study	Machine learning models	Emergency department data	Accurate prediction of UTI in clinical settings
<i>Del Ben et al. (2023)</i>	Observational study	Interpretable machine learning	Urine screening datasets	Improved effectiveness of urine culture screening
<i>Şen et al. (2024)</i>	Experimental study	Machine learning algorithms	Clinical datasets	Demonstrated reliable prediction of UTIs using ML
<i>Demirci et al. (2025)</i>	Retrospective cohort	Machine learning models	Urinalysis and hemogram data	Accurate prediction of positive urine cultures
<i>Dai et al. (2024)</i>	Preprint study	Explainable AI models	EHR and laboratory datasets	Enabled classification of UTI risk groups using AI
<i>Li et al. (2021)</i>	Preprint study	Attention-based AI model	Clinical datasets	Demonstrated AI potential in infection risk prediction

Integration with point-of-care (POC) testing represents another promising avenue. Althowaimer et al., highlighted the development of portable, AI-enabled urinalysis devices that provide near-instant results, enabling rapid triage in outpatient clinics, emergency departments, and resource-limited settings.¹ Such devices can facilitate timely clinical decision-making, reduce unnecessary urine cultures, and support antimicrobial stewardship by guiding empiric therapy more precisely. Coupling these devices with telemedicine platforms could further extend access to diagnostic services, particularly in underserved or rural populations.

The incorporation of multi-omics data into AI-driven models is also anticipated to enhance predictive capabilities. Naik et al., suggested that integrating genomic, proteomic, and metabolomic profiles from urine samples could allow AI algorithms to not only detect infections but also predict pathogen resistance patterns and disease severity. This approach could transform UTI management from a reactive to a proactive strategy, enabling personalized treatment plans based on individual patient risk profiles and microbial characteristics.²

Another significant future direction involves the standardization and regulatory approval of AI-assisted urinalysis platforms. De Bruyne et al., stressed the importance of developing universally accepted performance benchmarks, validation protocols, and reporting guidelines to ensure safety, reliability, and interoperability across different healthcare systems.⁴ Continuous post-market surveillance and algorithm recalibration will be essential to maintain performance in real-world clinical environments, particularly as microbial patterns evolve over time.

Ethical and educational considerations will also shape the future of AI in UTI diagnostics. Clinicians must be trained to interpret AI-generated results effectively, balancing algorithmic predictions with clinical judgment.⁷ Transparent and explainable AI systems will be critical to building trust among healthcare providers and patients alike, ensuring that technology complements rather than replaces human expertise. Choi et al., further emphasized the importance of integrating AI within broader diagnostic workflows, creating a synergistic approach that combines rapid AI-driven assessments with confirmatory urine cultures where necessary.⁵

Overall, the future of AI-driven UTI diagnostics lies in the convergence of advanced algorithms, point-of-care accessibility, multi-omics integration, regulatory standardization, and ethical implementation. By addressing current limitations and embracing these innovations, AI has the potential to transform UTI management, offering faster, more accurate, and personalized diagnostic solutions while maintaining high standards of patient safety and clinical reliability.

DISCUSSION

AI algorithms and computation techniques for urinalysis The proliferation of AI algorithms and computational techniques in urinalysis demonstrates the field's rapid evolution toward precision diagnostics. Machine learning and deep learning models have shown strong capability in pattern recognition and predictive analytics, with ensemble and hybrid approaches further enhancing accuracy. The diversity of algorithms allows for tailored solutions for different laboratory setups and patient populations. How-

ever, performance variability due to dataset size, quality, and heterogeneity underscores the need for standardized training datasets and robust validation frameworks. Optimizing these algorithms will be critical for translating technical capability into consistent clinical outcomes.

Diagnostic performance metrics

AI-powered urinalysis has demonstrated high diagnostic performance across sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values, often approaching or surpassing conventional urine culture benchmarks. These metrics indicate potential for early detection and rapid clinical decision-making. However, variations in algorithm design, microbial complexity, and pre-analytical factors can influence performance, highlighting the importance of rigorous prospective validation. While impressive, these metrics alone are insufficient; clinical context and interpretability remain crucial for safe implementation.

Comparative effectiveness versus urine culture

Comparisons between AI-based urinalysis and traditional culture methods reveal promising concordance, particularly for common uropathogens. AI demonstrates potential to reduce reliance on time-consuming cultures, allowing earlier clinical intervention. Nevertheless, culture remains indispensable for complex or polymicrobial infections, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and confirmatory diagnosis. Integrating AI as a complementary tool rather than a replacement may offer the most pragmatic path forward while maintaining diagnostic reliability.

Workflow and clinical integration

The integration of AI into laboratory and point-of-care workflows enhances efficiency and reduces turnaround times, potentially improving patient outcomes. Automated analyzers and EHR integration allow real-time clinical decision support, facilitating triage and antimicrobial stewardship. Despite these advantages, successful adoption requires careful attention to staff training, interoperability, and system validation. Workflow improvements alone are insufficient unless coupled with consistent quality assurance and clinician engagement.

Challenges, limitations, and validation requirements

Despite technological advancements, several challenges limit the clinical deployment of AI urinalysis. Dataset heterogeneity, algorithm interpretability, and variability in pre-analytical factors can reduce reliability. Ethical, regulatory, and infrastructural considerations further complicate adoption. Rigorous prospective validation, multicenter studies, and continuous recalibration are essential to ensure reproducibility and patient safety. Addressing these barriers is pivotal for AI to achieve its full potential.

Future directions in AI-driven UTI diagnostics

The future of AI in UTI diagnostics lies in hybrid algorithm development, integration with point-of-care devices, multi-omics incorporation, and regulatory standardization. Transparent and explainable AI, combined with clinician education, will be essential for trust and adoption. Emerging technologies could transform management from reactive to proactive, personalized interventions, while complementing traditional culture methods. By

addressing current limitations and leveraging innovation, AI promises to redefine the diagnostic landscape of urinary tract infections.

CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence has demonstrated significant potential to transform urinalysis and the diagnosis of urinary tract infections. Advances in machine learning, deep learning, and hybrid computational approaches have improved the accuracy and speed of detecting pathogens in urine samples, offering an alternative or complementary tool to traditional culture methods. These technologies provide rapid results, support early clinical decision-making, and hold promise for integration into point-of-care settings, which could enhance patient outcomes and optimize healthcare workflows.

Despite these promising developments, several challenges remain. Variability in dataset quality, algorithm interpretability, and pre-analytical factors can limit the reliability of AI-powered urinalysis. Ethical considerations, regulatory requirements, and the need for standardized validation protocols are crucial to ensure safe and consistent implementation across diverse clinical settings. Additionally, while AI can enhance diagnostic efficiency, conventional urine culture remains indispensable for complex infections, antimicrobial susceptibility testing, and confirmatory diagnoses. Therefore, AI is most effectively applied as a complementary tool rather than a full replacement of traditional methods.

Looking ahead, the future of AI-driven UTI diagnostics is likely to involve the integration of multi-omics data, advanced hybrid algorithms, and portable point-of-care devices. Transparent and explainable AI, coupled with clinician training, will be essential to foster trust and maximize clinical utility. By addressing current limitations and embracing innovative approaches, AI has the potential to redefine UTI management, providing faster, more accurate, and personalized diagnostics while maintaining patient safety and clinical reliability. The incorporation of AI into routine urinalysis represents a significant step toward a more precise and efficient future in UTI diagnosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges the use of artificial intelligence-assisted tools, specifically *ChatGPT (GPT-5.3, OpenAI)*, in the preparation of this manuscript for purposes of language refinement, organization of content, and overall clarity. The application of these tools was limited to editorial support, and all scholarly interpretations, analyses, and conclusions presented in this paper remain the sole responsibility of the author.

STATEMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

All authors certified fulfillment of ICMJE authorship criteria.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE

No conflicts of interest were declared by the author.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Datasets generated are included in the published article.

FUNDING SOURCE

None.

REFERENCES

1. Althowaimer MA, et al. Urinary tract infections (UTIs): laboratory diagnosis—the role of artificial intelligence and smart diagnosis. *Int J Health Sci.* 2024;8(S1):1484-93. DOI: 10.53730/ijhs.v8nS1.15294
2. Naik N, Talyshinskii A, Shetty DK, et al. Smart diagnosis of urinary tract infections: is artificial intelligence the fast-lane solution? *Curr Urol Rep.* 2024;25(1):37-47. PMID: 38112900 PMCID: PMC10787904 DOI: 10.1007/s11934-023-01192-3
3. Goździkiewicz N, Zwolińska D, Polak-Jonkisz D. The use of artificial intelligence algorithms in the diagnosis of urinary tract infections—a literature review. *J Clin Med.* 2022;11(10):2734. PMID: 35628861 PMCID: PMC9146683 DOI: 10.3390/jcm11102734
4. De Bruyne S, De Kesel P, Oyaert M. Applications of artificial intelligence in urinalysis: is the future already here? *Clin Chem.* 2023;69(12):1348-60. PMID: 37708293 DOI: 10.1093/clinchem/hvad136
5. Choi MH, Kim D, Bae HG, et al. Predictive performance of urinalysis for urine culture results according to causative microorganisms: an integrated analysis with artificial intelligence. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2024;62(10):e01175-24. PMID: 39264202 PMCID: PMC11481504 DOI: 10.1128/jcm.01175-24
6. Dong F, Yao Y, Chen Y, Guo Y, Jing C, Wu J. Diagnostic performance of urine analysis based on flow microimaging and artificial intelligence recognition technology in suspected urinary tract infection patients. *Scand J Clin Lab Invest.* 2022;82(5):385-90. PMID: 35852133 DOI: 10.1080/00365513.2022.2100273
7. Dedeene L, Van Elslande J, Dewitte J, Martens G, De Laere E, De Jaeger P, De Smet D. An artificial intelligence-driven support tool for prediction of urine culture test results. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2024;562:119854. PMID: 38977169 DOI: 10.1016/j.cca.2024.119854
8. Shen L, An J, Wang N, Wu J, Yao J, Gao Y. Artificial intelligence and machine learning applications in urinary tract infections identification and prediction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Urol.* 2024;42(1):464. PMID: 39088072 DOI: 10.1007/s00345-024-05145-4
9. Taylor RA, Moore CL, Cheung KH, Brandt C. Predicting urinary tract infections in the emergency department with machine learning. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(3):e0194085. PMID: 29513742 PMCID: PMC5841824 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194085
10. Del Ben F, Da Col G, Cobârzan D, et al. A fully interpretable machine learning model for increasing the effectiveness of urine culture screening. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 2023;160(6):620-31. PMID: 37658807 PMCID: PMC10691191 DOI: 10.1093/ajcp/aqad099
11. Farashi S, Momtaz HE. Prediction of urinary tract infection using machine learning methods: a study for finding the most-informative variables. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2025;13:47. DOI:10.1186/s12911-024-02819-2
12. Demirci F, Arıkan Y, Akbulut İ, Topçu Dİ. Machine learning-assisted prediction of positive urine cultures using urinalysis and hemogram data: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Med Biochem.* 2025;8(3):222-32. DOI: 10.3390/ijmb.2025.222
13. Dai Y, Sullivan B, Montout A, et al. Explainable AI for classifying UTI risk groups using a real-world linked EHR and pathology lab dataset. Preprint. arXiv. 2024. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2411.17645
14. Li H, Rezvani R, Kolanko MA, Sharp DJ, Wairagkar M, Vaidyanathan R, Barnaghi P. An attention model to analyse the risk of agitation and urinary tract infections in people with dementia. Preprint. arXiv. 2021. DOI: 10.48550/arXiv.2101.07007

Disclaimer: This journal is **OPEN ACCESS**, providing immediate access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge. As a requirement for submission to the PJP, all authors have accomplished an **AUTHOR FORM**, which declares that the ICMJE criteria for authorship have been met by each author listed, that the article represents original material, has not been published, accepted for publication in other journals, or concurrently submitted to other journals, and that all funding and conflicts of interest have been declared. Consent forms have been secured for the publication of information about patients or cases; otherwise, authors have declared that all means have been exhausted for securing consent.